



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

PAK ARAB REFINERY LIMITED

	NEW [DEC-16]	PREVIOUS [DEC-15]
Long-Term	AAA	AAA
Short-Term	A1+	A1+
Outlook	Stable	Stable

REPORT CONTENTS
1. RATING ANALYSES
2. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
3. RATING SCALE
4. REGULATORY AND SUPPLEMENTARY DISCLOSURE

Profile

- Incorporated in 1974 as an unlisted public limited company
- PARCO is a joint venture between the (GoP) and (EAD).
- With a mid-country refinery of 4.5mln tones per annum, PARCO commenced its refining operations in 2000.
- The company operates a network of crude oil-cum-product pipelines running over 2000 kilometers across the country.

Ownership

- PARCO is 60% owned by the GoP, represented by the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Resources (MPNR) and 40% by the Abu Dhabi Petroleum Investments LLC (ADPI), a majority owned company of Emirate of Abu Dhabi.

Governance

- Nine member board of directors including chairman and the MD
- Five nominees of the GoP, including Chairman and the Managing Director, while remaining four are EAD and OMV nominees.
- Five committees at the Board level, namely Finance, Technical, Bid Evaluation, HR and Audit committees - headed by a board member

Management & Controls

- The company has a well-defined organizational structure with clear segregation of responsibilities.
- The organizational structure of the company is divided into various divisions and departments. All the divisional heads are designated as GM.

Business Risk

- PARCO achieved throughput of ~101%. Although turnover declined by ~36% due to decline in product prices consequent to reduction in international crude oil prices, however the overall sales volume largely remained intact at ~4.6mln metric tons.
- The average GRM remained healthy throughout FY16 at \$9.5 per barrel (FY15: \$7.6 per barrel).
- The decline in interest income during the year from PKR 2.9bln to PKR 2.6bln was completely overshadowed by rise in dividend income (FY16: PKR 1.9bln; FY15: PKR 1.0bln)
- The finance cost reduced during the year due to settling of long term liabilities and prevailing low interest rate scenario.
- The company posted a net profit after tax of PKR 18.8bln in FY16, 38% higher than FY15. Going forward, PARCO is planning to increase the capacity of;
 - The refinery by additional 20,000 barrels per day.
 - MFM Pipeline from 3 million tons to 7 million tons
- Keeping in view the demand/supply situation of refined products, a new refinery project is under evaluation of PARCO.

Financial Risk

- During the year crude oil prices hit its historical low that bodes well for the PARCO as lower oil prices helped the entity to engage minimum assets to fund its working capital needs.
- During the year crude oil prices witnessed a dip of 18% that translated into a decline in inventory value of 28%. The rippled down effect was also reflected in decrease in receivables and payables by 16% and 33%.
- The increased gearing of PARCO from 3.0% in FY15 to 10.7% in FY16 was a result of temporary short term borrowing repaid in July 2016.

RATING RATIONALE

The ratings reflect PARCO's predominantly sovereign ownership structure- owned by the Government of Pakistan (GoP) (60%) and Abu Dhabi Petroleum Investments LLC (40%- a majority owned company of Emirate of Abu Dhabi) (EAD). Additionally, it enjoys strategic importance to the GoP for its socio-economic policies and its quest of reducing the import bill. The company's low business risk emanates from its leading market position, strong demand of its products, and its advanced plant technology. The business fundamentals also derive support from high premium product mix and diversified revenue stream. At the same time, the ratings recognize the company's ability to manage its financial profile. Also reflected in the ratings is PARCO's strengthened position in midstream and downstream sector with organic growth and acquisitions.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Effective management of upcoming and consistency in Government policies remain critical for the ratings. The ratings are dependent on sustained competitive positioning of the company.



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

PAK ARAB REFINERY LIMITED

BALANCE SHEET

	30-Sep-16	30-Jun-16	30-Jun-15
	<i>Annual</i>	<i>Annual</i>	<i>Annual</i>
<i>Non-Current Assets</i>	21,496	21,978	25,015
<i>Investments</i>	58,156	61,616	44,053
<i>Inventories</i>	17,343	17,832	24,792
<i>Current Assets</i>	27,296	24,252	32,633
<i>Total Assets</i>	124,291	125,678	126,493
<i>Borrowings</i>	1,046	1,045	1,523
<i>Total Liabilities (excluding long term borrowings)</i>	45,459	52,545	43,262
<i>Shareholders' Equity</i>	77,786	72,088	81,708
<i>Total Liabilities & Equity</i>	124,291	125,678	126,493

PROFIT & LOSS ACCOUNT

	30-Sep-16	30-Jun-16	30-Jun-15
<i>Turnover</i>	55,525	198,099	307,285
<i>Gross Profit</i>	7,708	27,413	19,927
<i>Interest Income</i>	-	2,623	2,933
<i>Interest Expense</i>	(24)	(663)	(1,009)
<i>Exchange Gain / (Loss)</i>	(240)	162	(1,065)
<i>Net Income</i>	5,699	18,831	13,647

CASHFLOW STATEMENT

	30-Sep-16	30-Jun-16	30-Jun-15
<i>EBITDA</i>	8,637	29,743	21,468
<i>Free Cashflow from Operations (FCFO)</i>	7,137	20,974	12,989
<i>Net Cash provided by Operating Activities</i>	14,629	24,636	17,476
<i>Net Cash (used in) / available from investing activities</i>	(361)	2,143	(2,673)
<i>Cashflow from financing activities</i>	(14,288)	(14,255)	(11,167)

RATIO ANALYSIS

	30-Sep-16	30-Jun-16	30-Jun-15
<i>Gross Margin</i>	13.9%	13.8%	6.5%
<i>Net Profit Margin</i>	10.3%	9.5%	4.4%
<i>Debt Service Coverage (times)</i>	22.5	17.7	7.0
<i>Interest Coverage (times)</i>	112.0	13.0	13.0
<i>Net Cash Cycle (Days)</i>	14.0	14.0	14.0
<i>Debt : Equity</i>	21%	3%	3%

STANDARD RATING SCALE & DEFINITIONS

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

LONG TERM RATINGS		SHORT TERM RATINGS
AAA	Highest credit quality. Lowest expectation of credit risk Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is highly unlikely to be adversely affected by foreseeable events.	<p>A1+: The highest capacity for timely repayment.</p> <p>A1: A strong capacity for timely repayment.</p> <p>A2: A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.</p> <p>A3: An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.</p> <p>B: The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.</p> <p>C: An inadequate capacity to ensure timely repayment.</p>
AA+ AA AA-	Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.	
A+ A A-	High credit quality. Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.	
BBB+ BBB BBB-	Good credit quality. Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.	
BB+ BB BB-	Speculative. Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic change over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.	
B+ B B-	Highly speculative. Significant Credit Risk. A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.	
CCC CC C	High default risk. Substantial Credit Risk CCC: Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. "CC" Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. "C" Ratings signal imminent default.	
D	Obligations are currently in default.	

<p>Rating Watch Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or in anticipation of, a) some material identifiable event and/or b) deviation from expected trend. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. Rating Watch may carry designation – Positive (rating may be raised, negative (lowered), or developing (direction is unclear). A watch should be resolved with in foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled.</p>	<p>Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing) Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. 'Stable' outlook means a rating is not likely to change. 'Positive' means it may be raised. 'Negative' means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as 'Developing'.</p>	<p>Suspension It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future but may stay in abeyance for long.</p>	<p>Withdrawn A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) cessation of underlying entity, or c) the debt instrument is redeemed.</p>
---	---	---	--

Disclaimer: PACRA's ratings are an assessment of the credit standing of entities in Pakistan. They do not take into account the potential transfer / convertibility risk that may exist for foreign currency creditors. PACRA's rating is not a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold a security, in as much as it does not comment on the security's market price or suitability for a particular investor.



Name of Issuer
Sector
Type of Relationship
Purpose of the Rating

Pak Arab Refinery Limited
Refining
Solicited
Independent Risk Assessment

Rating History

Dissemination Date	Long Term	Short Term	Outlook	Action
30-Dec-16	AAA	A1+	Stable	Maintain
31-Dec-15	AAA	A1+	Stable	Maintain
13-Jan-15	AAA	A1+	Stable	Maintain
15-Jan-14	AAA	A1+	Stable	Maintain
3-Jan-13	AAA	A1+	Stable	Maintain
27-Mar-12	AAA	A1+	Stable	Maintain
29-Nov-10	AAA	A1+	Stable	Maintain

Related Criteria and Research

Rating Methodology
Sector Research

Corporate Rating Methodology
Pakistan Refining Industry An Overview - Oct 16

Rating Analysts

Usama Liaquat
usama.liaquat@pacra.com
(92-42-35869504)

Rai Umar Zafar
rai.umar@pacra.com
(92-42-35869504)

[Rating Team Statement](#)

Rating Procedure

Rating is an opinion on relative credit worthiness of an entity or debt instrument. It does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security. The rating team for this assignment does not have any beneficial interest, direct or indirect in the rated entity/instrument.

[Disclaimer](#)

Rating Shopping

PACRA maintains principle of integrity in seeking rating business.

PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained directly from the underlying entity and public sources we consider to be reliable

but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information.

Conflict of Interest

PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process, and members of its rating committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating done by them

The analysts involved in the rating process do not have any interest in a credit rating or any of its family members has any such interest

The analysts and members of the rating committees including the external member members have disclosed all the conflict of interest, including those of their family members, if any, to the Compliance Officer PACRA

The analysts or any of its family members do not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This is, however, not applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. PACRA has established appropriate policies governing investments and trading in securities by its employees

PACRA may provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its clients or to any of its clients' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it. In such cases, PACRA has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities

PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and ii) fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity

PACRA ensures that the credit rating assigned to an entity or instrument should not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship

Surveillance

PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the rated entity/ issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc, is disseminated to the market, in a timely and effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer

PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on annual basis or as and when required by any stakeholder (including creditor) or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so

PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating(s) upon becoming aware of any information that may be reasonable be expected to result in any change (including downgrade) in the rating

Reporting of Misconduct

PACRA has framed and implemented whistle-blower policy encouraging all employees to intimate the compliance officer any unethical practice or misconduct relating to the credit rating by another employees of the company that came to his/her knowledge. The Compliance Officer reports to the BoD and SECP

Confidentiality

PACRA has framed a confidentiality policy to prevent abuse of the non-public information by its employees and other persons involved in the rating process, sharing and dissemination of the non-public information by such persons to outside parties

Where feasible and appropriate, prior to issuing or revising a rating, PACRA informs the issuer of the critical information and principal considerations upon which a rating will be based and provide the opportunity to clarify any likely factual misperception or other matter that PACRA would wish to be made aware of in order to produce a fair rating. PACRA duly evaluates the response. Where in a particular circumstance PACRA has not informed the entity/issuer prior to issuing or revising a rating, it informs the entity/issuer as soon as practical thereafter

Prohibition

None of the information in this document may be copied or otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's written consent. PACRA reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell

[Probability of Default \(PD\)](#)

PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past