



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

FIRST WOMEN BANK LIMITED

ENTITY RATINGS REPORT

	NEW [DEC-17]	PREVIOUS [JUN-17]
Long-Term	A-	A-
Short-Term	A2	A2
Outlook	Stable	Stable

REPORT CONTENTS
1. RATING ANALYSES
2. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
3. RATING SCALE
4. REGULATORY AND SUPPLEMENTARY DISCLOSURE

Profile & Ownership

- First Women Bank Limited (FWBL), established in 1989, is a commercial bank focused towards facilitation of women’s financial needs
- The management has brought clarity to strategic direction of the bank with independent focus on commercial and developmental mandate
- The bank operates through a network of 42 branches spread over 24 cities as at end-Sep17
- GoP is the major sponsor of the bank with 80% holding while MCB, HBL, ABL, NBP and UBL jointly hold remaining 20%

Governance

- The overall control of the bank vests in seven-member board of directors which includes the President and six NEDs
- The board comprises qualified and experienced professionals with sound industry knowledge and extensive banking acumen
- The Board has been reconstituted with three new independent directors inducted
- Recently, the bank inducted and appointed Ms. Rukhsana Shah to the BoD as its new chairman; replacing Mr. Mudassir H. Khan

Management

- Senior management team at FWBL comprises seasoned personnel; however, majority has brief experience at their current positions.
- Ms. Tahira Raza was appointed as President and CEO of FWBL in Apr-14
- She has over 40 years of experience in banking and has previously been associated with FWBL as one of the founding executive in 1989

Risk Management

- FWBL’s total assets registered a considerable growth of 19% during 9MCY17 predominantly a facet of increase in earning assets
- Net non-earning assets slightly improved YoY (9MCY17: 12%; 9MCY16: 16%) in-line with the trend prevalent in the previous periods, reducing the drag on earning profile of the bank
- The bank’s advances to deposit ratio (ADR) has risen to 65% at end-9MCY17 (9MCY16: 58%)
- Top-20 advances (including government exposure) concentration remained almost flat at 91% (Dec15: 92%; Dec14: 71%).

Asset Quality

- During 9MCY17, FWBL’s overall asset quality remained same on account of no further lending to sectors with higher infection ratio
- Moreover, loan loss coverage has improved to 63% (end-9MCY16: 57%); thus net NPLs have declined

Performance

- Significant dip in non-markup income in 9MCY17 (down by 35% YoY) attributable largely to lower gain booked on sale of investments (down by 90%) has put further pressure on the revenue base
- Hence, the bank reported a pre-tax loss of PKR 170mln (9MCY16: PKR 39mln).
- Going forward, FWBL plans to maintain size of its loan portfolio, improve quality of exposures, work on recoveries and strengthen treasury operations

Capital & Funding

- Deposit base remained tilted towards interest rate sensitive (saving and time) deposits which constitute ~76%.
- Top-20 depositors’ concentration improved to 29% (end-Dec15: 35%)
- However, increased investment in government securities has improved the overall liquidity position; (liquid assets-to-total deposits and borrowings ratio 9MCY17: 61%)
- Post equity injection in over the last two years, FWBL is MCR compliant with a CAR of 52%

RATING RATIONALE

The ratings primarily reflect strong association of FWBL with the Government of Pakistan (GoP) - the major shareholder - demonstrating continued commitment and support. Subsequent to injection of equity of PKR 1bln over last two years, GoP has injected another PKR 500mln in 1QCY17. The bank thus has reached to a capital of PKR ~4bln. The management has brought clarity to strategic direction of the bank with independent focus on commercial and developmental mandate of FWBL. During CY16, as the spreads further squeezed, business margins were challenged, hence, the bank booked loss for the year after recording profit in previous year; hence profitability is a challenge. The bank's high cost operational structure and provision expense on increasing non-performing loans continued to drag the performance. Cognizant of the matter, the management targets volumetric growth in deposits and advances, herein, a new IT system is being implemented and synergies are being explored with other institutions to lend profitably with limited risk exposure. The management is focusing to reduce its funding cost; thereby improving its spreads. Meanwhile, given recent capital compliance, the management has resources and clarity available to implement its business plan.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

The ratings are dependent on the bank's ability to achieve bottom-line profitability on a sustainable basis. Successful execution of the new business strategy, while improving efficacy of the risk management framework to improve asset quality - which is currently suppressed - remains important.

INDUSTRY SNAPSHOT

The banking sector experienced substantial expansion in its deposit base (2016: 14%). Building on the uptick in the economy, advances also grew by a sizeable margin after a lag of many years. Given GDP growth in FY17 and other macro-economic fundamentals, credit expansion is foreseen. Hence, CAR is going to be a challenge, as profits would also suffer due to PIBs maturities.



BALANCE SHEET	30-Sep-17 9MCY17	31-Dec-16 CY16	31-Dec-15 CY15	31-Dec-14 CY14
Earning Assets				
Advances (Net of NPL)	8,294	7,515	7,359	7,990
Debt Instruments	19	12	-	-
Total Finances	8,313	7,528	7,359	7,990
Investments	12,140	8,020	11,067	7,301
Others	101	190	142	515
	20,554	15,738	18,568	15,806
Non Earning Assets				
Non-Earning Cash	1,244	1,104	1,096	1,189
Deferred Tax	235	250	264	321
Net Non-Performing Finances	750	698	612	355
Fixed Assets & Others	814	731	808	1,116
	3,043	2,783	2,779	2,981
TOTAL ASSETS	23,597	18,521	21,347	18,787
Interest Bearing Liabilities				
Deposits	14,030	13,709	15,163	13,449
Borrowings	5,133	744	2,832	2,503
	19,163	14,453	17,996	15,952
Non Interest Bearing Liabilities	637	587	518	623
TOTAL LIABILITIES	19,799	15,040	18,513	16,575
EQUITY (including revaluation surplus)	3,798	3,481	2,833	2,212
Total Liabilities & Equity	23,597	18,521	21,347	18,787
INCOME STATEMENT	30-Sep-17 9MCY17	31-Dec-16 CY16	31-Dec-15 CY15	31-Dec-14 CY14
Interest / Mark up Earned	895	1,162	1,516	1,798
Interest / Mark up Expensed	(407)	(522)	(819)	(1,118)
Net Interest / Markup revenue	487	639	698	681
Other Income	79	200	397	71
Total Revenue	566	839	1,095	752
Non-Interest / Non-Mark up Expensed	(684)	(891)	(847)	(786)
Pre-provision operating profit	(103)	(7)	260	(22)
Provisions	(36)	11	(225)	(644)
Pre-tax profit	(139)	4	34	(666)
Taxes	(31)	7	19	166
Net Income	(170)	11	53	(500)
Ratio Analysis	30-Sep-17 9MCY17	31-Dec-16 CY16	31-Dec-15 CY15	31-Dec-14 CY14
Performance				
ROE	-6.5%	0.3%	2.2%	-25.3%
Cost-to-Total Net Revenue	120.8%	106.1%	77.4%	104.6%
Other operating income/ Total net revenue	13.9%	23.8%	36.3%	9.4%
Capital Adequacy				
Equity/Total Assets	15.6%	18.0%	12.6%	11.9%
Capital Adequacy Ratio as per SBP	52.2%	46.6%	41.2%	29.1%
Funding & Liquidity				
Liquid Assets / Deposits and Borrowings	60.7%	62.9%	62.7%	49.1%
Advances / Deposits	64.5%	59.9%	52.6%	62.5%
CASA deposits / Total Customer Deposits	91.4%	85.5%	88.6%	77.6%
Intermediation Efficiency				
Asset Yield	6.6%	7.1%	8.9%	10.6%
Cost of Funds	3.2%	3.4%	4.8%	6.5%
Spread	3.4%	3.7%	4.0%	4.1%
Outreach				
Branches	42	42	42	41

CREDIT RATING SCALE & DEFINITIONS

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

LONG TERM RATINGS		SHORT TERM RATINGS
AAA	Highest credit quality. Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments.	A1+: The highest capacity for timely repayment. A1: A strong capacity for timely repayment. A2: A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. A3: An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. B: The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. C: An inadequate capacity to ensure timely repayment.
AA+	Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk.	
AA	Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.	
AA-		
A+	High credit quality. Low expectation of credit risk.	
A	The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.	
A-		
BBB+	Good credit quality. Currently a low expectation of credit risk.	
BBB	The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.	
BBB-		
BB+	Moderate risk. Possibility of credit risk developing.	
BB	There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.	
BB-		
B+	High credit risk.	
B	A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.	
B-		
CCC	Very high credit risk. Substantial credit risk	
CC	“CCC” Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. “CC” Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. “C” Ratings signal imminent default.	
C		
D	Obligations are currently in default.	

Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing)
Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. ‘Stable’ outlook means a rating is not likely to change. ‘Positive’ means it may be raised. ‘Negative’ means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as ‘Developing’.

Rating Watch
Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or in anticipation of, a) some material identifiable event and/or b) deviation from expected trend. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating Watch may accompany Outlook of the respective opinion.

Suspension
It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.

Withdrawn
A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) cessation of underlying entity, c) the debt instrument is redeemed, d) the rating remains suspended for six months, e) the entity/issuer defaults, or/and f) PACRA finds it impractical to surveil the opinion due to lack of requisite information

Disclaimer: PACRA's ratings are an assessment of the credit standing of entities/issue in Pakistan. They do not take into account the potential transfer / convertibility risk that may exist for foreign currency creditors. PACRA's opinion is not a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold a security, in as much as it does not comment on the security's market price or suitability for a particular investor.



Name of Rated Entity
Sector
Type of Relationship
Purpose of the Rating

First Women Bank Limited
 Banking
 Solicited
 Independent Risk Assessment

Rating History

Dissemination Date	Long Term	Short Term	Outlook	Action
-	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain
23-Jun-17	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain
7-Apr-16	A-	A2	Stable	Upgrade
29-Jun-15	BBB+	A2	Positive	Maintain
30-Jun-14	BBB+	A2	Stable	Downgrade

Related Criteria and Research Rating Methodology

Banking Sector - Viewpoint | Jun-17
 Bank Rating Methodology

Rating Analyst(s)

Muneeb Rashid
muneeb.rashid@pacra.com
 (92-42-35869504)

[Rating Team Statement](#)

Rating Procedure

Rating is an opinion on relative credit worthiness of an entity or debt instrument. It does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security. The rating team for this assignment does not have any beneficial interest, direct or indirect in the rated entity/instrument.

[Disclaimer](#)

Rating Shopping

PACRA maintains principle of integrity in seeking rating business.

PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained directly from the underlying entity and public sources we consider to be reliable

but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information.

Conflict of Interest

PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process, and members of its rating committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating done by them

The analysts involved in the rating process do not have any interest in a credit rating or any of its family members has any such interest

The analysts and members of the rating committees including the external member members have disclosed all the conflict of interest, including those of their family members, if any, to the Compliance Officer PACRA

The analysts or any of its family members do not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This is, however, not applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. PACRA has established appropriate policies governing investments and trading in securities by its employees

PACRA may provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its clients or to any of its clients' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it. In such cases, PACRA has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities

PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and ii) fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity

PACRA ensures that the credit rating assigned to an entity or instrument should not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship

Surveillance

PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the rated entity/ issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc, is disseminated to the market, in a timely and effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer

PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on annual basis or as and when required by any stakeholder (including creditor) or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so

PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating(s) upon becoming aware of any information that may be reasonable be expected to result in any change (including downgrade) in the rating

Reporting of Misconduct

PACRA has framed and implemented whistle-blower policy encouraging all employees to intimate the compliance officer any unethical practice or misconduct relating to the credit rating by another employees of the company that came to his/her knowledge. The Compliance Officer reports to the BoD and SECP

Confidentiality

PACRA has framed a confidentiality policy to prevent abuse of the non-public information by its employees and other persons involved in the rating process, sharing and dissemination of the non-public information by such persons to outside parties

Where feasible and appropriate, prior to issuing or revising a rating, PACRA informs the issuer of the critical information and principal considerations upon which a rating will be based and provide the opportunity to clarify any likely factual misperception or other matter that PACRA would wish to be made aware of in order to produce a fair rating. PACRA duly evaluates the response. Where in a particular circumstance PACRA has not informed the entity/issuer prior to issuing or revising a rating, it informs the entity/issuer as soon as practical thereafter

Prohibition

None of the information in this document may be copied or otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's written consent. PACRA reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell

[Probability of Default \(PD\)](#)

PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e. probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past